

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/538,054	01/13/2006	John Arthur Taylor	050290 001P2	8507
33805 WEGMAN H	7590 10/15/201 ESSLER & VANDERF	EXAMINER		
6055 ROCKSIDE WOODS BOULEVARD			PIERY, MICHAEL T	
SUITE 200 CLEVELAND, OH 44131		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		1742	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/15/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
10/538,054		TAYLOR ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	MICHAEL T. PIERY	1742	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 16 September 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavir, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In or event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: (f box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee aver been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, have been appropriated to the propriate of the propriate of the propriate and the propriate and the propriate of the propriate and the propriate extension of the propriate and the propriate and the propriate extension of the propriate and the propriate extension of the propriate and the propriate and the propriate extension of the propriate and the propriat
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). MENDMENTS
B. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).
1. He amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
7. Me for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
Claim(s) allowed:
Claim(s) objected to:
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE
8. The affidavit or other evidence field after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
2. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 4.13(d)(1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER
11. \(\subseteq \) The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).
13. Other:
/Monica A Huson/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1742

Continuation of 3, NOTE: The proposed amendments raise new issues requiring further search and consideration,

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that no coagulant is applied to the glove before it is dipped in the foam. The examiner disagrees. Coagulant is applied, as taught at column 7, lines 10-15, the forms are sent to the application of additional layers (the steps beginning at column 8, lines 38-40). Dunmire teaches that the application of additional layers occurs after the application of the coagulant (column 7, lines 26-30).

Applicant argues that it is not possible for the process to end with a coagulated underside foam layer and an uncoagulated outer layer. The examiner disagrees. As discussed above, the coagulant is applied before the porous finish foam, thus the coagulant coagulates the underside of the foam.

Applicant argues that blowing out the webs between the fingers does not meet the removing uncoagulated foam step. The examiner disagrees. As discussed above, the coagulant is applied before the porous finish foam, followed by blowing out the webs. Thus, uncoagulated foam is blown out (removed). Further, the water bath inherently removes uncoagulated foam regardless of the intention of the bath.